

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/755,002 | KAAN ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Kristie D. Shingles | 2141 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: ALLOWED

(1) Kristie D. Shingles, Examiner.

(3) _____

(2) Aly Dossa, Reg.No.L0031.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 19 December 2007

Time: 4:00pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1, 2, 12 and 13

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Upon Primary's approval, Examiner contacted Atty to discuss an Examiner's Amendment that would place the claims in condition for allowance. The Examiner's Amendment involved incorporating claim language from dependent claims 2 and 13 into independent claims 1 and 12, respectively, for clarifying that the host in communication with the router knows the router identity. Atty authorized the Examiner's Amendment accordingly..